arXiv:1303.0002v1 [math.CO] 28 Feb 2013

On calculation of the interweight distribution of an equitable partition*

Denis S. Krotov[†]

Abstract

We derive recursive and direct formulas for the interweight distribution of an equitable partition of a hypercube.

Keywords: equitable partition; strong distance invariance; interweight distribution; distance distribution; Krawtchouk polynomial

1 Introduction

We study the equitable partitions, see e.g. [7, §5.1] (also known as regular partitions, see e.g. [1, §11.1.B], partition designs, see e.g. [2], or perfect colorings, see e.g. [5]; less popular equivalent terms include coherent partitions [9], feasible colorations [3, §4.1], distributive colorings [14]), of the n-cubes. The results are also applicable to the completely regular sets (including perfect codes and nearly perfect codes), as they can be represented in terms of equitable partitions (see e.g. [2]). In Section 2, we give main definitions. Section 3 contains recursive formulas for the calculation of the interweight distribution of an equitable partition of an n-cube. The formulas are proved in Section 4. In Section 5, a direct formula for the interweight distribution is derived, in terms of polynomials in the quotient matrix of the equitable partition and their generating function. In Section 6, we observe some empiric relation with the so-called correlation-immunity bound on the quotient matrices of equitable 2-partitions of an n-cube. In Section 6, we briefly discuss a real-valued generalization of the equitable partitions. In the concluding section, we give final remarks and formulate some open questions.

---

[*] The research was partially supported by the Ministry of education and science of Russian Federation (project 8227) and by the Target program of SB RAS for 2012-2014 (integration project No. 14).

[†] Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, pr. Akademika Koptyuga 4, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia. Novosibirsk State University, Pirogova 2, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia. E-mail: `krotov@math.nsc.ru`

2 Preliminaries

An *equitable partition* of a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is an ordered partition $C = (C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ of $V(G)$ such that for every i and j from 1 to m and every v from C_i the number S_{ij} of its neighbors from C_j depends only on i and j and does not depend on the choice of v. The matrix $S = (S_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{m}$ is called the *quotient matrix* of C.

Let $C = (C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ be a collection of mutually disjoint vertex sets (*cells*) of a graph G of diameter d. The *weight distribution* of C with respect to a vertex v of G is the collection of numbers $(W_i^r)_{i=1}^{m}{}_{r=0}^{d}$ where W_i^r is the number of vertices of C_i at distance r from v (by the distance, we mean the natural graph distance, i.e., the minimal number of edges in a path between two vertices).

One of well-known properties of the equitable partitions of distance regular graphs is the *distance invariance.* A collection $C = (C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ of mutually disjoint vertex sets is called *distance invariant* if its weight distribution with respect to a vertex v from C_i does not depend on the choice of v and depends only on i, j, the quotient matrix and the parameters of the distance regular graph (in the current paper, we consider only n-cubes, which will be defined below; for the background to the distance invariant graphs in general see, e.g., [1]).

The weight distribution of an equitable partition C can be calculated using some recursive relations or the direct formula (see [10]) $W^w = \Pi^{(w)}(S)W^0$ where $W^w = (W_1^w, \ldots, W_m^w)$, S is the quotient matrix of C, and $\Pi^{(w)}$ is some polynomial related to the graph (if A is the adjacency matrix of the graph, then $A^{(w)} = \Pi^{(w)}(A)$ is the distance-w $0,1$-matrix: two graph vertices u, v are at distance w if and only if $A^{(w)}_{u,v} = 1$).

One of the known strengthenings of the distance invariance property is the strong distance invariance. For a fixed vertex v from C_i, let $W_{ijk}^{r_1,r_2,r_3}$ denotes the number of the pairs (x, y) such that $d(x, y) = r_2 + r_3$, $d(v, y) = r_1 + r_3$, $d(v, x) = r_1 + r_2$, $x \in C_j$, and $y \in C_k$. A collection $C = (C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ of mutually disjoint vertex sets is called *strongly distance invariant* if its *interweight distribution* $W = (W_{ijk}^{r_1,r_2,r_3})_{r_1,r_2,r_3=0}^{d}{}_{j,k=1}^{m}$ with respect to a vertex v from C_i does not depend on the choice of v (originally, elements of the interweight distribution were indexed by the distances $a = d(x, y)$, $b = d(v, y)$, $c = d(v, x)$; by the reasons that can be seen from formulas below, we reenumerate them using the indexes $r_1 = -a+b+c$, $r_2 = a-b+c$, $r_3 = a+b-c$). The n-cube H^n is the graph $(V(H^n), E(H^n))$ whose vertex set is the set of all n-words in the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$, two words being adjacent if and only if they differ in exactly one position.

Theorem 1 ([13]). *The equitable partitions of the n-cubes are strongly distance invariant.*

The statement does not hold for the distance regular graphs in general, see examples in [10].

We will show how to calculate the interweight distribution of an equitable partition. For a fixed vertex v from C_i, let $T_{ijk}^{r_1,r_2,r_3}$ denote the number of the triples (v,x,y) such that $d(x,y)=r_2+r_3$, $d(v,y)=r_1+r_3$, $d(v,x)=r_1+r_2$, $v\in C_i$, $x\in C_j$, and $y\in C_k$. We will refer to the collection of $T_{ijk}^{r_1,r_2,r_3}$ for all i, j, k, r_1, r_2, r_3 as the *triangle distribution* of C (which can be, in this definition, an arbitrary family of subsets of $V(H^n)$). Note that, because of the strong distance invariance of the equitable partitions, it holds $W_{ijk}^{r_1,r_2,r_3} = T_{ijk}^{r_1,r_2,r_3}/|C_i|$; so, calculation of the triangle distribution means calculation of the interweight distribution. The array T^{r_1,r_2,r_3} (and, similarly, W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}) will be treated as a row-vector of length m^3 whose elements $T_{ijk}^{r_1,r_2,r_3}$ are indexed by the triple $i,j,k\in\{1,\ldots,m\}$. Next, we define three $m^3\times m^3$ matrices S', S'', S''' such that multiplication of a row-vector to the matrix S' (respectively, S'', S''') is the same as multiplication of the corresponding three-indexed array to the matrix S in the first (respectively, second, third) index. Formally, $S'=S\otimes I\otimes I$, $S''=I\otimes S\otimes I$, $S'''=I\otimes I\otimes S$, where I is the identity $m\times m$ matrix and $U=X\otimes Y\otimes Z$ denotes the $m^3\times m^3$ matrix with elements $U_{ijk,i'j'k'}=X_{i,i'}Y_{j,j'}Z_{k,k'}$.

3 Recursive formulas

In the following two theorems, we present two groups of formulas. Theorem 2 is formulated in terms of W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}. It reproves Theorem 1, but, as a starting point or the recursion, we have to know the weight distribution of the partition. Theorem 3 is formulated in terms of T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}. To start the recursion, we have to know only the cardinalities $|C_1|$, …, $|C_m|$; but to calculate W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}, we should use the strong distance invariance. To understand the formulas, it is important to note that, as follows from definitions, the elements of W^{r_1,r_2,r_3} and T^{r_1,r_2,r_3} are zeros if $r_1<0$, $r_2<0$, $r_3<0$, or $r_1+r_2+r_3>n$.

Theorem 2. *Let $C=(C_1,\ldots,C_m)$ be an equitable partition of the n-cube with quotient matrix S. We fix some vertex $v\in C_i$ and consider the interweight distribution W of C with respect to v. Then the following formulas holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}S'' &= (r_1+1)W^{r_1+1,r_2,r_3-1}+(r_3+1)W^{r_1-1,r_2,r_3+1} \\ &\quad +(n-r_1-r_2-r_3+1)W^{r_1,r_2-1,r_3}+\underline{(r_2+1)W^{r_1,r_2+1,r_3}}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}S''' = (r_1+1)W^{r_1+1,r_2-1,r_3} + (r_2+1)W^{r_1-1,r_2+1,r_3} + (n-r_1-r_2-r_3+1)W^{r_1,r_2,r_3-1} + \underline{(r_3+1)W^{r_1,r_2,r_3+1}}. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 3 is proved in Section 4. The given formulas express W^{l_1,l_2,l_3} (in the underlined parts of formulas) with $l_2 > 0$ or $l_3 > 0$ as a combination of arrays with smaller index sum $l_1 + l_2 + l_3$; so, all the values are calculated recursively, starting from $W^{r_1,0,0}$, $r_1 = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. The last arrays are combined from the weight distribution: $W^{r_1,0,0}_{ijj} = W^{r_1}_j$, $W^{r_1,0,0}_{ijk} = 0$ if $j \neq k$. So, the formulas derive the interweight distribution of an equitable partition from its weight distribution. This gives an alternative proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Let $C = (C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ be an equitable partition of the n-cube with quotient matrix S. Then the following formulas holds:*

$$T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}S' = (r_2+1)T^{r_1,r_2+1,r_3-1} + (r_3+1)T^{r_1,r_2-1,r_3+1} + (n-r_1-r_2-r_3+1)T^{r_1-1,r_2,r_3} + \underline{(r_1+1)T^{r_1+1,r_2,r_3}}, \quad (3)$$

$$T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}S'' = (r_1+1)T^{r_1+1,r_2,r_3-1} + (r_3+1)T^{r_1-1,r_2,r_3+1} + (n-r_1-r_2-r_3+1)T^{r_1,r_2-1,r_3} + \underline{(r_2+1)T^{r_1,r_2+1,r_3}}, \quad (4)$$

$$T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}S''' = (r_1+1)T^{r_1+1,r_2-1,r_3} + (r_2+1)T^{r_1-1,r_2+1,r_3} + (n-r_1-r_2-r_3+1)T^{r_1,r_2,r_3-1} + \underline{(r_3+1)T^{r_1,r_2,r_3+1}}. \quad (5)$$

Proof. Since $W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{ijk} = T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{ijk}/|C_i|$ and the index i does not vary in (4) and (5), we see that (4) and (5) are straightforward from (1) and (2) (without using the strong distance invariance, we can just sum (1) and (2) over all vertices from C_i). By analogy, (3) is true too. ▲

By the definition, the non-diagonal entries of $T^{0,0,0}$ are zeros and $T^{0,0,0}_{iii} = |C_i|$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. (Note also that, because of the obvious relations $|C_i|S_{i,j} = |C_j|S_{j,i}$ and $|C_1| + \ldots + |C_m| = 2^n$, the values $|C_i|$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, are derived from the quotient matrix S.) The given formulas express T^{l_1,l_2,l_3} (in the underlined parts of formulas) as a combination of arrays with smaller index sum $l_1 + l_2 + l_3$; so, all the values are calculated recursively.

4 A proof of the recursion

Before proving the theorem, we define two auxiliary concepts.

Given partition $C = (C_1, \dots, C_k)$ of the vertices of a graph, the *spectrum* of a vertex set X is the k-tuple $\mathrm{Spe}(X) = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, where $x_i = |X \cap C_i|$. If X is a multiset, then x_i is defined as the sum over C_i of the multiplicities in X.

The *multi-neighborhood* $[X]$ of a vertex set X is a multiset of the vertices of the graph, w here the multiplicity of a vertex is calculated as the number of its neighbors from X. In other words, $[X] = \uplus_{x\in X}[x]$ where $[x]$ is the neighborhood of the vertex x and $\uplus$ is the multiset union.

Lemma 1. *Let C be an equitable partition (of an arbitrary graph) with quotient matrix S. For every vertex set X,*

$$\mathrm{Spe}([X]) = \mathrm{Spe}(X) \cdot S$$

Proof. By definitions, $\mathrm{Spe}([x]) = \mathrm{Spe}(x) \cdot S$, and

$$\mathrm{Spe}([X]) = \mathrm{Spe}(\uplus_{x\in X}[x]) = \sum_{x\in X} \mathrm{Spe}([x]) = \sum_{x\in X} \mathrm{Spe}(x) \cdot S = \mathrm{Spe}(X) \cdot S.$$

▲

Proof of the theorem. For a fixed vertices v and x of the n-cube, denote by H^{r_1,r_2,r_3} the set of vertices y such that $d(v,y) = r_1 + r_3$, $d(x,y) = r_2 + r_3$, $d(v,x) = r_1 + r_2$ (we do not restrict the values of the parameters but note that by the definition H^{r_1,r_2,r_3} is nonempty only for nonnegative r_1, r_2, r_3 satisfying $r_1 + r_2 = d(v,x)$ and $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 \le n$).

Every vertex of H^{r_1,r_2,r_3} has r_1 neighbors from H^{r_1-1,r_2+1,r_3}, r_2 neighbors from H^{r_1+1,r_2-1,r_3}, r_3 neighbors from H^{r_1,r_2,r_3-1}, $n - r_1 - r_2 - r_3$ neighbors from H^{r_1,r_2,r_3+1} (to see this, we can consider without loss of generality that $v = 0^n$, $x = 1^{r_1+r_2}0^{n-r_1-r_2}$, $y = 0^{r_2}1^{r_1+r_3}0^{n-r_1-r_2-r_3}$).

By the definition of the multi-neighborhood, we have

$$[H^{r_1,r_2,r_3}] = l_1 H^{r_1+1,r_2-1,r_3} \uplus l_2 H^{r_1-1,r_2+1,r_3} \uplus l_3 H^{r_1,r_2,r_3+1} \uplus l_0 H^{r_1,r_2,r_3-1}$$

where $l_1 = r_1 + 1$, $l_2 = r_2 + 1$, $l_3 = r_3 + 1$, $l_0 = n - r_1 - r_2 - r_3 + 1$.

$$S^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{v,x} \cdot S = l_1 S^{r_1+1,r_2-1,r_3}_{v,x} \uplus l_2 S^{r_1-1,r_2+1,r_3}_{v,x} \uplus l_3 S^{r_1,r_2,r_3+1}_{v,x} \uplus l_0 S^{r_1,r_2,r_3-1}_{v,x}$$

where $S^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{v,x} = \mathrm{Spe}(H^{r_1,r_2,r_3})$. Summarizing the last equation over all x from C_j, we get

$$W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{ij} \cdot S = l_1 W^{r_1+1,r_2-1,r_3}_{ij} \uplus l_2 W^{r_1-1,r_2+1,r_3}_{ij} \uplus l_3 W^{r_1,r_2,r_3+1}_{ij} \uplus l_0 W^{r_1,r_2,r_3-1}_{ij}$$

where $W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{ij} = (W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{ij1}, \dots, W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{ijm})$ and W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{ijk} denotes the number of the pairs (x,y) of vertices such that $d(v,y) = r_1 + r_3$, $d(x,y) = r_2 + r_3$, $d(v,x) = r_1 + r_2$, $v \in C_i$, $x \in C_j$, $y \in C_k$. That is, we have (2). Equation (1) is similar. ▲

5 The polynomials

In this section, we derive a direct formula for T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}.

In further consideration, we will use the following degenerated but important case of equitable partitions. By the *singleton partition* of any graph $G=(V(G),E(G))$, we will mean the partition $(\{x\})_{x\in V(G)}$ of the vertices of G into sets of cardinality one. The singleton partition is obviously equitable, and its quotient matrix S coincides with the graph adjacency matrix.

Lemma 2. *For every nonnegative integer n, r_1, r_2, r_3 meeting $r_1+r_2+r_3\le n$ there is a unique polynomial $P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z)$ of degree at most $r_1+r_2+r_3$ such that*

$$T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z)=T^{0,0,0}P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(S',S'',S'''). \tag{6}$$

Proof. By properties of the tensor product $\otimes$ of matrices, the matrices S', S'', S''' commutate with each other. So, the existence of the polynomial follows by induction from (3)–(4). It remains to prove the uniqueness, which is not straightforward; indeed, since the recursion is three-parametric, and some values can be obtained recursively by more than one way.

Let us consider the singleton partition; that is, S is a graph adjacency matrix.

(1) We first note that the dimension of the vector space of all $P(S',S'',S''')$ with P being a polynomial of degree at most n does not exceed $\binom{n+3}{3}$. Indeed this is the number of choices for the degrees r_1, r_2, r_3 that point a monomial component of the polynomial.

(2) Then, we see that all T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}, $r_1\ge 0$, $r_2\ge 0$, $r_3\ge 0$, $r_1+r_2+r_3\le n$, are linearly independent. Indeed, for every vertex numbers i, j, k, there is exactly one array T^{r_1,r_2,r_3} (namely, such that the distances between the vertices i and j, j and k, i and k equal r_1+r_2, r_2+r_3, r_1+r_3, respectively) with $T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}_{ijk}\ne 0$.

We conclude from (1) and (2) that the linear map $P(S',S'',S''')\to T^{0,0,0}P(S',S'',S''')$ is nonsingular. Hence, every T^{r_1,r_2,r_3} is represented as $T^{0,0,0}P(S',S'',S''')$, where the degree of P is not greater than n, in only one way. ▲

Theorem 4. *The generating function*

$$f(X,Y,Z)=\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3}P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z)X^{r_1}Y^{r_2}Z^{r_3}$$

of the polynomials P^{r_1,r_2,r_3} satisfying (6) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(X,Y,Z) &= (1+X+Y+Z)^{\frac{n+x+y+z}{4}}(1+X-Y-Z)^{\frac{n+x-y-z}{4}} \\ &\quad\times(1-X+Y-Z)^{\frac{n-x+y-z}{4}}(1-X-Y+Z)^{\frac{n-x-y+z}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. From (3) and Lemma 2, the polynomials P^{r_1,r_2,r_3} satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} xP^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z) &= (r_2+1)P^{r_1,r_2+1,r_3-1}(x,y,z) + (r_3+1)P^{r_1,r_2-1,r_3+1}(x,y,z) \\ &\quad + (n-r_1-r_2-r_3+1)P^{r_1-1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z) + (r_1+1)P^{r_1+1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Multiplying by $X^{r_1}Y^{r_2}Z^{r_3}$ and summing over all r_1, r_2, r_3 from 0 to ∞, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3} P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}X^{r_1}Y^{r_2}Z^{r_3} &= Z\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3}(r_2+1)P^{r_1,r_2+1,r_3-1}X^{r_1}Y^{r_2}Z^{r_3-1} \\ &\quad + Y\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3}(r_3+1)P^{r_1,r_2-1,r_3+1}X^{r_1}Y^{r_2-1}Z^{r_3} \\ &\quad + nX\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3} P^{r_1-1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z)X^{r_1-1}Y^{r_2}Z^{r_3} \\ &\quad - X^2\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3}(r_1-1)P^{r_1-1,r_2,r_3}X^{r_1-2}Y^{r_2}Z^{r_3} \\ &\quad - XY\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3} r_2P^{r_1-1,r_2,r_3}X^{r_1-1}Y^{r_2-1}Z^{r_3} \\ &\quad - XZ\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3} r_3P^{r_1-1,r_2,r_3}X^{r_1-1}Y^{r_2}Z^{r_3-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3}(r_1+1)P^{r_1+1,r_2,r_3}X^{r_1}Y^{r_2}Z^{r_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, denoting $f(X,Y,Z) = \sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3=0}^{\infty} P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z)X^{r_1}Y^{r_2}Z^{r_3}$ and implying $P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z) = 0$ whenever $r_1 < 0$, $r_2 < 0$, or $r_3 < 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x-nX)f(X,Y,Z) &= (1-X^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial X}f(X,Y,Z) \\ &\quad + (Z-XY)\frac{\partial}{\partial Y}f(X,Y,Z) + (Y-XZ)\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}f(X,Y,Z). \end{aligned}$$

The formula from the statement of the theorem satisfies this differential equation (we omit the straightforward but bulky check). In particular, this means that its Taylor coefficients satisfy the recursion (7). Similarly, they satisfy the recursions derived from (4) and (5). It remains to note that the coefficient at $X^0Y^0Z^0$ is 1, as desired. ▲

Corollary 1. *For all integer* $r_1 \geq 0$, $r_2 \geq 0$, $r_3 \geq 0$,

$$P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z) = \sum_{\substack{i_1,j_1,k_1:i_1+j_1+k_1\leq r_1 \\ i_2,j_2,k_2:i_2+j_2+k_2\leq r_2 \\ i_3,j_3,k_3:i_3+3_3+k_3\leq r_3}} (-1)^{i_2+i_3+j_1+j_3+k_1+k_2}$$

$$\times \binom{\frac{n+x+y+z}{4}}{r_1{-}i_1{-}j_1{-}k_1,\ r_2{-}i_2{-}j_2{-}k_2,\ r_3{-}i_3{-}j_3{-}k_3,\ \cdot}$$
$$\times \binom{\frac{n+x-y-z}{4}}{i_1, i_2, i_3, \cdot} \binom{\frac{n-x+y-z}{4}}{j_1, j_2, j_3, \cdot} \binom{\frac{n-x-y+z}{4}}{k_1, k_2, k_3, \cdot}$$

where

$$\binom{\delta}{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdot} = \binom{\delta}{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta-\alpha-\beta-\gamma} = \frac{\delta(\delta-1)\dots(\delta-\alpha-\beta-\gamma+1)}{\alpha!\ \beta!\ \gamma!}.$$

Remark. In the partial case $r_2 = r_3 = 0$, we have

$$P^{r,0,0}(x,y,z) = K_r(K_1^{-1}(x)), \quad \text{where } K_r(x) = \sum_i (-1)^i \binom{x}{i} \binom{n-x}{r-i}$$

is the well-known Krawtchouk polynomial, see e.g. [12, §5.2]. So, the polynomial

$$K^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z) = P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(K_1(x), K_1(y), K_1(z))$$

can be seen as some generalization of the Krawtchouk polynomial.

Corollary 2. *Given an equitable partition C of the n-cube with quotient matrix S, the enumerator $\sum_{r_1,r_2,r_3} T^{r_1,r_2,r_3} X^{r_1} Y^{r_2} Z^{r_3}$ is equal to*

$$\begin{aligned} T^{0,0,0}&(1+X+Y+Z)^{\frac{n+S'+S''+S'''}{4}}(1+X-Y-Z)^{\frac{n+S'-S''-S'''}{4}} \\ &\times (1-X+Y-Z)^{\frac{n-S'+S''-S'''}{4}}(1-X-Y+Z)^{\frac{n-S'-S''+S'''}{4}}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Remark. The generating function f in Theorem 4 is a polynomial (of degree n) if and only if all four powers are nonnegative integers. But the quotient matrix S, in general, can have eigenvalues that make the powers negative or non-integer being substituted for x, y, z. This means that $P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(S', S'', S''')$ is not necessarily the zero matrix when $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 > n$. Nevertheless, $T^{0,0,0}P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(S', S'', S''')$ will be zero in this case, and the enumerator in Corollary 2 is a degree-n polynomial. This can be explained by the fact that the matrix algebra generated by S', S'', and S''' has dimension $(n+1)^3$, while the dimension of its restriction by the action on $T^{0,0,0}$ is only $\binom{n+3}{3} = \frac{(n+3)(n+2)(n+1)}{6}$.

In the rest of this section, we list the polynomials of degree at most 4 and a GAP [8] program that calculates the polynomials recursively (to speed up the program by avoiding repetitive calculations, one can add the memorizing of the previously calculated values).

$P^{0,0,0} = 1$, $\quad P^{0,0,1} = z$, $\quad P^{0,0,2} = [z^2 - n]\,/\,2$, $\quad P^{0,1,1} = yz - x$,

$P^{0,0,3} = [z^3 + (2-3n)z]\,/\,6, \qquad P^{0,1,2} = [yz^2 - 2xz + (2-n)y]\,/\,2,$
$P^{1,1,1} = xyz - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 + 2n, \qquad P^{0,0,4} = [z^4 + (8-6n)z^2 + (3n^2-6n)]\,/\,24,$
$P^{0,1,3} = [yz^3 - 3xz^2 + (8-3n)yz + (3n-6)x]\,/\,6,$
$P^{0,2,2} = [y^2z^2 - 4xyz + 2x^2 + (4-n)y^2 + (4-n)z^2 + (n^2-6n)]\,/\,4,$
$P^{1,1,2} = [xyz^2 - 2x^2z - 2y^2z - z^3 + (6-n)xy + (5n-6)z]\,/\,2,$

```
x:=Indeterminate(Rationals,2);; SetName(x,"x");
y:=Indeterminate(Rationals,3);; SetName(y,"y");
z:=Indeterminate(Rationals,4);; SetName(z,"z");
n:=Indeterminate(Rationals,1);; SetName(n,"n");
# calculates $P^{r_1,r_2,r_3}(x,y,z) recursively:
P:=function(r1,r2,r3)
  if (r1<0)or(r2<0)or(r3<0) then return 0*x;
  elif (r1+r2+r3=0) then return 0*x+1;
  elif (r1>0) then
    return (x*P(r1-1,r2,r3)-(n-r1-r2-r3+2)*P(r1-2,r2,r3)
           -(r2+1)*P(r1-1,r2+1,r3-1)-(r3+1)*P(r1-1,r2-1,r3+1))/r1;
  else return Value(P(r2,r3,r1),[x,y,z],[y,z,x]);
  fi;
end;
```

6 Connection with the correlation-immunity bound

The theory of the equitable 2-partitions of n-cubes was developed, in its current state, by D. Fon-Der-Flaass in [5, 4, 6]. At the moment, there are three known general necessary conditions (9), (10), (11) for a matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ to be the quotient matrix of some equitable partition (and only for one matrix $S = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 9 \\ 11 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ satisfying these three conditions it is known that S is not the quotient matrix of an equitable partition [6]). Two conditions are rather simple:

$$n = a + b = c + d \tag{9}$$
$$2^n \ \vdots\ (b+c)/\gcd(b,c). \tag{10}$$

(9) is true because the degree of every vertex is n; (10) is true because $b|C_1| = c|C_2|$ and $|C_1|$, $|C_2|$ must be integer. The third condition has a nontrivial proof.

Theorem 5 ([4]). *Assume that there exists an equitable partition of the* *n-cube with quotient matrix* $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ *where* $b \neq c$*, then*

$$c - a \leq n/3. \tag{11}$$

Additionally, we can require $b \geq c$ because otherwise we can satisfy this condition by renumbering the partition cells. The following computational result connect the bound (11) with the evident condition that the elements of the interweight distribution of a partition must be nonnegative.

Proposition 1. *For every integer* n *from* 1 *to* 100 *and every integer* a*,* $c > 0$*,* $b > c$*,* d *satisfying* (9), (10) *and missing* (11)*, there are* r_2*,* r_3 *such that* $T_{111}^{0,r_2,r_3} < 0$*, where* $T_{\cdots}^{\cdots}$ *are formally calculated using* (3)–(5).

7 The real-valued case

In this section, we will briefly discuss a generalization of the equitable partitions, where the spectrum of a single vertex can possess an arbitrary vector value over the real numbers R. Let $C : V(H^n) \to R^m$ be a vector function whose values are m-tuples over R. By the *spectrum* $C(M)$ of a set $M \subseteq V(H^n)$ we will mean the sum of values of C over M. The function C is a *perfect structure* with parameter $m \times m$ matrix S if for every vertex x the spectrum of the neighborhood of x equals $C(x)S$. The concept of perfect structures generalizes the equitable partitions, whose characteristic vector functions are perfect structures. As an example, to show that this generalization can give something interesting, we refer [11], where the optimal binary 1-error-correcting codes of length $2^m - 4$ are proved to be related with perfect structures, but not with equitable partitions in general.

For the perfect structures, we can define the interweight distribution as follows. For two (similarly, for three) m-tuples $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$, $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_m)$, we define their tensor product $a \otimes b$ as

$$(c_{jk})_{j,k=1}^{m} = (c_{11}, ..., c_{1m}, c_{21}, \ldots c_{mm}), \quad \text{where } c_{jk} = a_j b_k.$$

For a vertex v, let W^{r_1,r_2,r_3} denote the sum of $C(x) \otimes C(y)$ over all pairs of vertices (x, y) such that $d(x, y) = r_2 + r_3$, $d(v, y) = r_1 + r_3$, $d(v, x) = r_1 + r_2$. The value $W_{jk}^{r_1,r_2,r_3}$ (we do not write the subindex i because it is not determined for the vertex v) can still be treated as "the number of the triangles $(v, x \in C_j, y \in C_k)$ such that $d(x, y) = r_2 + r_3$, $d(v, y) = r_1 + r_3$, $d(v, x) = r_1 + r_2$" if the values of C are treated as the multiplicities of a collection $(C_1, \ldots, C_m)$ of multisets. Similarly, let T^{r_1,r_2,r_3} denote the sum of $C(z) \otimes C(x) \otimes C(y)$ over all triples of vertices (v, x, y) such that $d(x, y) =$

$r_2 + r_3$, $d(v,y) = r_1 + r_3$, $d(v,x) = r_1 + r_2$. The statements similar to Theorems 2 and 3 hold for perfect structures too.

Corollary 3. *Given a perfect structure* C *and a vertex* v*, all the values* W^{r_1,r_2,r_3}*,* $r_1+r_2+r_3 \le n$ *can be calculated from* $W^{r_1,0,0}$*,* $r_1 = 0,1,\ldots,n$ *and the parameter matrix* S*.*

Corollary 4. *Given a perfect structure* C*, all the values* T^{r_1,r_2,r_3}*,* $r_1+r_2+r_3 \le n$ *can be calculated from* $T^{0,0,0}$ *and the parameter matrix* S*.*

But, in the general case, the values $W^{r_1,0,0}$ cannot be calculated from $C(v)$. Also, $T^{0,0,0}$ is not invariant over all perfect structures with the same parameter matrix.

Example. Let $C(00) = C(01) = (2,0)$, $C(10) = C(11) = (0,2)$, $C'(00) = (2,0)$, $C'(01) = C'(10) = (1,1)$, $C'(11) = (0,2)$. Then C and C' are perfect structures with the same parameter matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Also, $C(v) = C'(v)$ for $v = 00$. Nevertheless, it is easy to see that the values $W^{1,0,0}$ and $T^{0,0,0}$ differ for C and C'

So, we can see that the strong distance invariance, in contrast to the distance invariance, cannot be generalized to the perfect structures, while the recursive relations (1)–(5) are still valid for the perfect structures.

8 Open problems

It is not difficult to find two vertex sets whose distance distributions coincide but the triangle distributions are different. An example is {0000, 0001, 0010, 1111}, {0000, 0001, 0111, 1111}; the distances in both cases are 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, and the triangles, in terms of r_1, r_2, r_3, are $(0,1,2)$, $(0,1,2)$, $(0,1,3)$, $(0,1,3)$ and $(0,1,3)$, $(0,1,3)$, $(1,1,1)$, $(1,1,2)$, respectively. Finding such examples in the class of distance invariant sets is not so easy.

Problem 1. Do there exist two distance invariant codes with the same distance distributions but different triangle distributions?

Problem 2. Does there exist a distance invariant code that is not strongly distance invariant?

Another question rises from the computational results in Section 6.

Problem 3. Explain Proposition 1 theoretically; prove it for an arbitrary n.

Acknowledgment.

The author thanks Anastasiya Vasil'eva for useful discussions.

References

[1] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier. *Distance-Regular Graphs.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[2] P. Camion, B. Courteau, and P. Delsarte. On r-partition designs in Hamming spaces. *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.*, 2(3):147–162, 1992. DOI: 10.1007/BF01294330.

[3] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, and H. Sachs. *Spectra of Graphs: Theory and Application.* Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1980.

[4] D. Fon-Der-Flaass. A bound on correlation immunity. *Sib. Ehlektron. Mat. Izv.*, 4:133–135, 2007. Avialable online at `http://semr.math.nsc.ru/v4/p133-135.pdf`.

[5] D. G. Fon-Der-Flaass. Perfect 2-colorings of a hypercube. *Sib. Math. J.*, 48(4):740–745, 2007. DOI: 10.1007/s11202-007-0075-4 translated from Sib. Mat. Zh. 48(4) (2007), 923-930.

[6] D. G. Fon-Der-Flaass. Perfect colorings of the 12-cube that attain the bound on correlation immunity. *Sib. Ehlektron. Mat. Izv.*, 4:292–295, 2007. In Russian. Online: `http://semr.math.nsc.ru/v4/p292-295.pdf`.

[7] C. D. Godsil. *Algebraic Combinatorics.* Chapman and Hall, New York, 1993.

[8] The GAP Group. GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming. `http://www.gap-system.org`.

[9] D. G. Higman. Coherent configurations. Part I: Ordinary representation theory. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 4(1):1–32, 1975. DOI: 10.1007/BF00147398.

[10] D. S. Krotov. On weight distributions of perfect colorings and completely regular codes. *Des. Codes Cryptography*, 61(3):315–329, 2011. DOI: 10.1007/s10623-010-9479-4.

[11] D. S. Krotov. On the binary codes with parameters of triply-shortened 1-perfect codes. *Des. Codes Cryptography*, 64(3):275–283, 2012. DOI: 10.1007/s10623-011-9574-1.

[12] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes.* Amsterdam, Netherlands: North Holland, 1977.

[13] A. Yu. Vasil'eva. Local and interweight spectra of completely regular codes and of perfect colorings. *Probl. Inf. Transm.*, 45(2):151–157, 2009. DOI: 10.1134/S0032946009020069, translated from Probl. Peredachi Inf., 45(2):84-90, 2009.

[14] A. G. Vizing. Distributive coloring of graph vertices. *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper.*, 2(4):3–12, 1995. In Russian. Online: `http://mi.mathnet.ru/eng/da/v2/i4/p3`.